

KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD & EVANS, P.L.L.C.

SUMNER SQUARE  
1615 M STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 400  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-3209

(202) 326-7900

FACSIMILE:  
(202) 326-7999

February 4, 2003

**Ex Parte Presentation**

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: *Application by SBC Communications Inc., et al. for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA Services in Michigan, WC Docket No. 03-16*


Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of SBC Communications Inc. ("SBC"), I am attaching a copy of a filing that Michigan Bell Telephone Company ("Michigan Bell") made on January 31, 2003, with the Michigan Public Service Commission correcting certain rates reflected in Parts 19 and 23 of Tariff M.P.S.C. No. 20R. See Attachment A to this Letter.

In addition, SBC has identified some minor inaccuracies in the commercial-volume data reflected in Attachments A, C, and D of the Affidavit of Mark J. Cottrell. The correction of that data requires modification of those attachments as well as corresponding changes to some paragraphs in the affidavit itself. See Attachment B to this Letter.

In accordance with this Commission's Public Notice, DA 03-156 (Jan. 16, 2003), SBC is filing this letter electronically through the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System. Thank you for your kind assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

  
Geoffrey M. Klineberg

Marlene H. Dortch  
February 4, 2003  
Page 2

**Ex Parte Presentation**

Attachments

cc: John P. Stanley  
Gina Spade  
Susan Pié  
Layla Seirafi-Najar  
Dorothy Wideman  
Ann R. Schneidewind  
Qualex International

# Attachment A



Regulatory  
Suite 1670  
444 Michigan Ave.  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Office: 313/223-0729  
Fax: 313/496-9332

Kelly Ann Fennell  
Director

**January 31, 2003**

Mr. Thomas Lonergan  
Director-Communications Division  
Michigan Public Service Commission  
6545 Mercantile Way  
P.O. Box 30221  
Lansing, MI 48909

**Advice No. 3304**

Dear Mr. Lonergan:

This tariff e-filing is sent to you for issuance and filing, as authorized in the following Commission Orders:

**Case No. U-11831, Order dated 8/31/00**

**Case No. U-12540, Order dated 3/7/01**

**Tariff M.P.S.C. No. 20R**

**Number of Sheets**

**17**

The attached tariff sheets are being issued as an errata to Advices No. 2960 (filed 3/10/00), 2970 (filed 5/16/00), 3002 (filed 10/2/00), 3007 (filed 10/9/00), and 3061 (filed 4/6/01) to correct inadvertent discrepancies recently discovered in the following UNE and interconnection tariffs: Unbundled Loops (Part 19, Section 2), Unbundled Sub-Loops (Part 19, Section 16), Unbundled Access to Customer Name Database (Part 19, Section 17), and Collocation (Part 23, Section 4). Specifically, the following items have been corrected: DS3 loop non-recurring charges ("NRCs"); manual loop qualification; per query access to the CNAM database; one DS3 unbundled sub-loop monthly recurring charge; and, three collocation rate elements associated with planning, entrance fiber, and equipment maintenance; additionally, some rate element titles were corrected for DS3 loop and sub-loop and collocation. Further, the unbundled sub-loop NRCs have been updated to rate reference the unbundled loop NRCs to prevent future de-synchronization. Of the involved products, only the unbundled DS3 loop NRCs have been assessed under the tariff; an appropriate adjustment will be issued to the one customer affected.

Michigan Bell Telephone Company ("SBC") files these tariff sheets under continued protest, and continues to reserve all rights regarding pending and potential legal challenges to the Commission orders noted above and to other underlying Commission orders that do or could impact the tariffs or product offerings reflected therein. Also, SBC is not waiving any of its rights, remedies or arguments with respect to any order, decisions or proceedings and any remands thereof, including but not limited to its rights under the United States Supreme Court's opinion in *Verizon v. FCC*, 535 U.S. (2002); the D.C. Circuit's decision in *United States Telecom Association, et.al. v. FCC*. No. 00-101 (May 24, 2002); the FCC's Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, (FCC 99-370) (rel. November 24, 1999 including its *Supplemental Order Clarification* (FCC 00-183) (rel. June 2, 2000 in CC Docket 96-98. SBC fully reserves all of its rights, remedies and arguments with respect to any decisions, orders or proceedings, including but not limited to its right to dispute whether such capabilities and/or any UNEs in the tariff must be provided under Sections 251(c)(3) and 251(d) of the Act. SBC also fully reserves its rights to amend or modify this tariff.

As an acknowledgment that this filing has been received, we request the return of the letter stamped by the Commission, to me at the above address.

Sincerely,

Enclosures

**January 31, 2003**

**AMERITECH  
MICHIGAN BELL**

**Regulatory Department**

**TARIFF TRANSMITTAL 3304**

The following Tariff material is being issued:

**Tariff M.P.S.C. No. 20R**

**Part 1, Section 2**

**Part 19, Section 2**

**Part 19, Section 16**

**Part 19, Section 17**

**Part 23, Section 4**

**Number of Sheets**

**17**

The attached tariff sheets are being issued as an errata to Advices No. 2960 (filed 3/10/00), 2970 (filed 5/16/00), 3002 (filed 10/2/00), 3007 (filed 10/9/00), and 3061 (filed 4/6/01) to correct inadvertent discrepancies recently discovered in the following UNE and interconnection tariffs: Unbundled Loops (Part 19, Section 2), Unbundled Sub-Loops (Part 19, Section 16), Unbundled Access to Customer Name Database (Part 19, Section 17), and Collocation (Part 23, Section 4). Specifically, the following items have been corrected: DS3 loop non-recurring charges ("NRCs"); manual loop qualification; per query access to the CNAM database; one DS3 unbundled sub-loop monthly recurring charge; and, three collocation rate elements associated with planning, entrance fiber, and equipment maintenance; additionally, some rate element titles were corrected for DS3 loop and sub-loop and collocation. Further, the unbundled sub-loop NRCs have been updated to rate reference the unbundled loop NRCs to prevent future de-synchronization. Of the involved products, only the unbundled DS3 loop NRCs have been assessed under the tariff; an appropriate adjustment will be issued to the one customer affected.

Michigan Bell Telephone Company ("SBC") files these tariff sheets under continued protest, and continues to reserve all rights regarding pending and potential legal challenges to the Commission orders noted above and to other underlying Commission orders that do or could impact the tariffs or product offerings reflected therein. Also, SBC is not waiving any of its rights, remedies or arguments with respect to any order, decisions or proceedings and any remands thereof, including but not limited to its rights under the United States Supreme

Court's opinion in *Verizon v. FCC*, 535 U.S. (2002); the D.C. Circuit's decision in *United States Telecom Association, et.al. v. FCC*. No. 00-101 (May 24, 2002); the FCC's Order *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, (FCC 99-370) (rel. November 24, 1999 including its *Supplemental Order Clarification* (FCC 00-183) (rel. June 2, 2000 in CC Docket 96-98. SBC fully reserves all of its rights, remedies and arguments with respect to any decisions, orders or proceedings, including but not limited to its right to dispute whether such capabilities and/or any UNEs in the tariff must be provided under Sections 251(c)(3) and 251(d) of the Act. SBC also fully reserves its rights to amend or modify this tariff.

Please file the pages on the effective date, February 1, 2003.

Kelly Ann Fennell  
Director - 271, Local Competition and Network

**CHECK LIST (cont'd)**

A. Check List - General

**PART 1**

**Section 1**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original	2	Original	3	Original

**Section 2**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	2nd	18	11th	27.1.1	75th
2	Original	19	1st	27.1.2	22nd
3	15th	19.1	*20th	27.2	86th
3.1	5th	19.1.1	2nd	27.3	18th
4	*542nd	19.2	11th	27.4	2nd
5	23rd	19.3	12th	27.5	4th
6	63rd	19.4	5th	27.6	4th
6.1	62nd	19.5	5th	27.7	*3rd
7	9th	19.6	*17th	27.8	*4th
8	85th	19.7	15th	28	1st
8.1	2nd	20	9th	29	3rd
9	28th	21	Original	30	Original
10	6th	22	20th	31	5th
11	1st	23	3rd	32	2nd
12	6th	24	1st	33	5th
13	Original	25	1st	34	3rd
14	73rd	26	Original	35	Original
14.1	41st	26.1	Original	36	1st
15	4th	27	33rd	37	1st
16	8th	27.01	1st		
17	20th	27.1	76th		

**Section 3**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	19th	8	4th	14	9th
1.1	1st	8.1	1st	15	3rd
2	7th	9	2nd	16	10th
3	8th	10	2nd	17	4th
4	2nd	10.1	Original		
5	2nd	11	5th		
6	3rd	12	6th		
7	2nd	13	2nd		



**CHECK LIST (cont'd)**

A. Check List - General (cont'd)

**PART 19 (cont'd)**

**Section 1**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original	6	Original	11	Original
2	9th	7	Original	12	Original
3	Original	8	Original	13	Original
4	1st	9	Original		
5	Original	10	Original		

**Section 2**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	5th	3.7	Original	5.2	Original
1.1	Original	4	3rd	6	2nd
1.2	Original	4.1	2nd	6.1	1st
1.3	Original	4.2	Original	6.2	2nd
1.4	Original	4.3	Original	6.3	1st
1.5	Original	4.4	Original	6.4	Original
2	1st	4.5	Original	7	6th
2.1	Original	4.6	Original	8	3rd
3	4th	4.7	Original	8.1	*4th
3.1	1st	4.8	Original	8.2	*4th
3.2	Original	4.9	Original	9	2nd
3.3	Original	4.10	Original	9.1	1st
3.4	Original	4.11	Original		
3.5	1st	5	Original		
3.6	1st	5.1	1st		

PART 1 - Preface

SECTION 2 - Table of Contents

---

**CHECK LIST (cont'd)**

A. Check List - General (cont'd)

**PART 19 (cont'd)**

**Section 16**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original	10	Original	19	1st
2	Original	11	Original	20	*2nd
3	Original	12	Original	21	*1st
4	Original	13	Original	22	*1st
5	Original	14	Original	23	*1st
6	Original	15	Original	24	*1st
7	Original	16	Original		
8	Original	17	*1st		
9	Original	18	1st		

**Section 17**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original	3	*1st
2	Original		

**Section 18**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original	6	1st
2	Original	7	Original
3	Original	8	Original
4	2nd	9	2nd
5	1st	10	2nd
5.1	Original		

**CHECK LIST (cont'd)**

A. Check List - General

**PART 23 (cont'd)**

**Section 4 (cont'd)**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
13.19	Canceled	45	Original	77	Original
14	3rd	46	Original	78	Original
15	5th	47	Original	79	Original
16	5th	48	Original	80	Original
17	4th	49	Original	81	Original
18	4th	50	Original	82	Original
19	3rd	51	Original	83	Original
20	3rd	52	Original	84	Original
21	5th	53	Original	85	1st
22	1st	54	Original	86	Original
23	Original	55	Original	87	*1st
24	Original	56	Original	88	Original
25	Original	57	Original	89	Original
26	Original	58	Original	90	Original
27	Original	59	Original	91	Original
28	Original	60	Original	92	Original
29	Original	61	Original	93	Original
30	Original	62	Original	94	*1st
31	Original	63	Original	95	Original
32	Original	64	Original	96	Original
33	Original	65	Original	97	Original
34	Original	66	Original	98	Original
35	Original	67	Original	99	Original
36	Original	68	Original	100	Original
37	Original	69	Original	101	Original
38	Original	70	Original	102	Original
39	Original	71	Original	103	Original
40	Original	72	Original	104	Original
41	Original	73	Original	105	Original
42	Original	74	Original	106	Original
43	Original	75	Original	107	Original
44	Original	76	Original	108	Original

---

**CHECK LIST (cont'd)**

A. Check List - General

**PART 23 (cont'd)**

**Section 4 (cont'd)**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
109	Original	114	Original	119	Original
110	Original	115	Original	120	Original
111	Original	116	Original	121	1st
112	Original	117	Original		
113	Original	118	*1st		

**PART 24 (cont'd)**

**Section 1**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Revision</u>
1	Original				
2	Original				

**Section 2**

1	*1st
2	*1st

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 2 - Unbundled Loops and HFPL

4th Revised Sheet No. 8.1  
Cancels  
3rd Revised Sheet No. 8.1

**1. UNBUNDLED LOOPS (cont'd)**

**F. PRICES**

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<b><u>Digital Loop (cont'd)</u></b>			
<b>DS3 Rates</b>			
- Administrative Charge, per order per location			
Initial Order	\$145.27(R)	-	
Disconnect Order	45.78(R)	-	
- Design and CO Connection Charge, per DS3			(C)
Initial Order	508.98(R)	-	
Disconnect Order	70.71(R)	-	
- Carrier Connection Charge, per DS3			(C)
Initial Order	190.57	-	
Disconnect Order	0.00(R)	-	
<b>xDSL Loop and HFPL Conditioning Charges, per xDSL loop</b>			
- Bridge Tap Removal, >12 Kft. and <17.5 Kft.			
- Bridge Tap Removal, >17.5 Kft. <sup>/1/</sup>	23.35	-	
	23.35	-	
- Load Coil Removal, >12 Kft. and <17.5 Kft.			
- Load Coil Removal, >17.5 Kft. <sup>/1/</sup>	29.67	-	
	11.87	-	
- Removal of Voice Grade Repeater, >12 Kft. and <17.5 Kft.			
- Removal of Voice Grade Repeater, >17.5 Kft. <sup>/1/</sup>	24.29	-	
	24.29	-	

/1/ This charge is in addition to the >12 Kft. and <17.5 Kft. charge  
(incremental) and applies per occurrence of removal over 17.5 Kft.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 03/07/01 Case No. U-12540  
Issued: January 31, 2003 Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number Portability	4th Revised Sheet No. 8.2 Cancels
SECTION 2 - Unbundled Loops and HFPL	3rd Revised Sheet No. 8.2

1. UNBUNDLED LOOPS (cont'd)

**F. PRICES (cont'd)**

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price
<b><u>HFPL</u></b>		
<b>Service Ordering Charges</b>		
- Installation, per occasion per location	\$ 3.16	-
- Disconnect, per occasion per location/1/	1.54	-
- Subsequent, per occasion	3.02	-
- Record Work, per occasion	1.86	-
<b>OSS Modification Charge</b>	-	.25
<b>Cross Connect Configuration Charge</b>		
- Company-Owned	-	.15
- Telecommunications Carrier-Owned	-	.15
- Line-at-a-time Company-Owned Splitter	-	.89
<b>HFPL Cross Connect Configuration Charge</b>		
- Company-Owned Splitter		
Installation	10.00	-
Disconnection	10.00	-
- Telecommunications Carrier-Owned Splitter		
Installation	10.00	-
Disconnection	10.00	-
Manual Loop Qualification Charge	121.03 <sup>/2/</sup> (R)	-
Detailed Manual Loop Qualification Charge	TBD <sup>/3/</sup>	-
Mechanized Loop Qualification	.10	-

Monthly Payment			
Access Area <sup>/1/</sup>			
Description /Billing Code/	A	B	C
HFPL Loop Charge	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

/1/ Applies to loops installed on or after February 15, 2000.  
/2/ Per request.  
/3/ Tariff will be revised to reflect rates for loop qualification at a later date.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 03/07/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

1st Revised Sheet No. 17  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 17

**1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)**

<b>F. PRICES</b>
------------------

**1. Service Elements**

Description /Billing Code/	Monthly Payment		
	Access Area <sup>/1/</sup>		
	A	B	C
<b><u>Digital (cont'd)</u></b>			
<b>DS3 Sub-Loop</b>			
- MDF or CO to RT	\$635.68	\$715.62	\$725.45(R)
- MDF or CO to SAI/FDI	-	-	-
- MDF or CO to Terminal	-	-	-
- RT to SAI/FDI	-	-	-
- RT to Terminal	-	-	-
- RT to NID	635.68	715.62	725.45
- SAI/FDI to Terminal	-	-	-
- SAI/FDI to NID	-	-	-
- Terminal to NID	-	-	-
- NID only	-	-	-
- SPOI to Demarc	_/1/	_/1/	_/1/

/1/ Pricing for this rate element is subject to a Bona Fide Request (BFR) process.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 3/7/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

2nd Revised Sheet No. 20  
Cancels  
1st Revised Sheet No. 20

1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)

**F. PRICES**

1. *Service Elements (cont'd)*

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<u><b>Analog Sub-Loop</b></u>			
<b>Service Ordering Charges</b>			
- Installation, per occasion per location	/1/	-	(C)
- Disconnect, per occasion per location	/1/	-	
- Subsequent, per occasion	/1/	-	(C)
- Record Work, per occasion	/1/	-	(C)
<b>Sub-Loop Connection Charge, per termination</b>	/1/	-	(C)
<b>Sub-Loop Disconnection Charge, per termination</b>	/1/	-	(C)

/1/ See Part 19, Section 2 of this Tariff.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 03/07/01      Case No. U-12540  
Issued: January 31, 2003      Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan



PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

1st Revised Sheet No. 21  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 21

**1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)**

<b>F. PRICES (cont'd)</b>
---------------------------

**1. Service Elements (cont'd)**

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<b><u>Digital Sub-Loop</u></b>			
<b>DS1 Rates</b>			
- Administrative Charge, per order per location	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			
- Design and CO Connection Charge, per DS1	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			
- Carrier Connection Charge, per DS1	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			
<b>DS3 Rates</b>			
- Administrative Charge, per order per location	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			
- Design and CO Connection Charge, per DS3	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			
- Carrier Connection Charge, per DS3	/1/	-	(C)
Initial Order	/1/	-	(C)
Disconnect Order			

/1/ See Part 19, Section 2 of this Tariff.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 3/7/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

1st Revised Sheet No. 22  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 22

**1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)**

<b>F. PRICES (cont'd)</b>
---------------------------

**1. Service Elements (cont'd)**

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<b><u>Sub-Loop xDSL Conditioning Charges</u></b>	/1/		
<b>Service Coordination Fee</b>			
- per carrier bill, per telecommunications carriers	-	/1/	(C)
<b>Ameritech Cross-Connect Service Charge</b>	See Part 23, Section 4		
- per sub-loop cross-connected (based on the interface type) to the telecommunications carrier's equipment			
<b>Cancellation or Change Service Charge, per last critical date reached</b>			
- Analog Sub-Loops	/1/		(C)
- Design Layout Report Date	/1/		
- Records Issue Date	/1/		
- Designed, Verified and Assigned Date	/1/		
- Plant Test Date	/1/		(C)

/1/ See Part 19, Section 2 of this Tariff.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 3/7/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

1st Revised Sheet No. 23  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 23

1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)

<b>F. PRICES (cont'd)</b>
---------------------------

1. *Service Elements (cont'd)*

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<b><u>Cancellation or Change Service Charge, per last critical date reached (cont'd)</u></b>			
<b>Digital Sub-Loops</b>			
DS1			
- Design Layout Report Date	/1/	-	(C)
- Records Issue Date	/1/	-	
- Designed, Verified and Assigned Date	/1/	-	
- Plant Test Date	/1/	-	(C)
DS3			
- Design Layout Report Date	/1/	-	(C)
- Records Issue Date	/1/	-	
- Designed, Verified and Assigned Date	/1/	-	
- Plant Test Date	/1/	-	(C)

/1/ See Part 19, Section 2 of this Tariff.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 3/7/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 16 - Unbundled Sub-Loops

1st Revised Sheet No. 24  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 24

1. UNBUNDLED SUB-LOOPS (cont'd)

<b><i>F. PRICES (cont'd)</i></b>
----------------------------------

1. *Service Elements (cont'd)*

Description	Nonrecurring Charge	Monthly Price	
<b><u>Due Date Change Charge, per order per occasion</u></b>			
Analog Sub-Loops	/1/	-	(C)
Digital DS1	/1/	-	(C)
Digital DS3	/1/	-	(C)

/1/ See Part 19, Section 2 of this Tariff.

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 3/7/01	Case No. U-12540
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 19 - Unbundled Network Elements and Number  
Portability  
SECTION 17 - Access to Customer Name Database

1st Revised Sheet No. 3  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 3

---

**1. ACCESS TO CUSTOMER NAME DATABASE (cont'd)**

<b><i>D. PRICES</i></b>
-------------------------

Charges by the Company to the telecommunications carrier will be applied on an individual query basis. A query is defined as an SS7 signal to the database, which sends a telephone directory number (DN) to the database. The information returned by the CNAM database is the customer name associated with the DN in the CNAM database.

***1. Service Elements***

---

Description	Per Query
Unbundled Access to CNAM	
- CNAM Database Query	\$0.0079320(R)

PART 23 - Interconnection Service for Local  
Telecommunications Carriers  
SECTION 4 - Collocation Services

1st Revised Sheet No. 87  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 87

**1. PHYSICAL COLLOCATION (SERVICES AND RATES) (cont'd)**

**21. RATES AND CHARGES**

The following rates and charges apply for physical collocation requests:

21.1 Planning Fees

Description	Monthly Rate	Nonrecurring Charge	
Physical Collocation			
- Initial (monthly per 100 SF)	\$22.29	\$3,805.77	
- Subsequent Cable Only	None	1,317.38	
Common/Shared Collocation			
- Initial (monthly per LF)	1.04(I)	3,220.27	
- Subsequent Cable Only	None	1,317.38	
Cageless Collocation			
- Initial	None	4,830.40	
- Subsequent Cable Only	None	1,463.76	
Adjacent On-Site Collocation			
- Initial	None	6,586.91	
- Subsequent Cable Only	None	1,317.38	
Adjacent Off-Site Collocation			
- Initial	None	1,418.13	(C)

PART 23 - Interconnection Service for Local  
Telecommunications Carriers  
SECTION 4 - Collocation Services

1st Revised Sheet No. 94  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 94

**1. PHYSICAL COLLOCATION (SERVICES AND RATES) (cont'd)**

**21. RATES AND CHARGES**

The following rates and charges apply for physical collocation requests:

Description	Monthly Price	Nonrecurring Charge
21.11 Entrance Fiber Structure Charge (Per Innerduct)	\$ 1.9444	None
21.12 Entrance Fiber, per cable sheath		
- Physical Caged Collocation	2.73	\$1,420.97
- Common Caged Collocation	2.73	1,420.97
- Cageless Collocation	14.97	1,420.97
- Adjacent On-Site Collocation	31.36(R)	2,602.13
21.13 Adjacent On-Site Collocation Arrangement		
- Land Rental, per square foot	0.39	None
21.14 Collocation-to-Collocation Connection		
Physical to Physical		
- Fiber Cable (12 Fibers)	0.82	2,106.69
- DS1 Cable (28 DS1s)	0.74	1,314.96
- DS3 Cable (1 DS3)	0.74	336.02
Cageless to Cageless		
- Fiber Cable (12 Fibers)	0.24	829.91
- DS1 Cable (28 DS1s)	0.19	518.01
- DS3 Cable (1 DS3)	0.19	132.37
Physical/Cageless to Virtual		
- Fiber Cable (12 Fibers)	0.24	829.91
- DS1 Cable (28 DS1s)	0.19	518.01
- DS3 Cable (1 DS3)	0.19	132.37

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 8/31/00 Case No. U-11831  
Issued: January 31, 2003 Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan

PART 23 - Interconnection Service for Local  
Telecommunications Carriers  
SECTION 4 - Collocation Services

1st Revised Sheet No. 118  
Cancels  
Original Sheet No. 118

**1. PHYSICAL COLLOCATION (SERVICES AND RATES) (cont'd)**

<b>1. GENERAL (cont'd)</b>
----------------------------

**22.13 Rate Regulations (cont'd)**

**22.13.2 Rates and Charges**

Description	Monthly Rate	Nonrecurring Charge
(L) DS3 Interconnection Arrangement to DSX (Per DS3)	\$12.53	\$ 336.02
(M) Fiber Interconnection Arrangement (Per 12 Fiber Cable) 2,106.69	8.12	2,106.69
(N) Collocation-to-Collocation Connection		
1. Fiber Cable (Per 12 Fiber Cable)	0.24	829.91
2. DS1 Cable (Per 28 DS1s)	0.19	518.1
3. DS3 Cable (Per DS3)	0.19	132.37
(O) Equipment Maintenance and Security Escort		
1. Equipment Maintenance		
- Normal Business Day per ¼ Hour	0.00	15.99
- Non-Normal Business Day - Initial	0.00	255.88(R)
- Non-Normal Business Day - ¼ Hour	0.00	15.99
2. Security Escort		
- Normal Business Day per ¼ Hour	0.00	13.50
- Non-Normal Business Day - Initial	0.00	216.00
- Non-Normal Business Day - ¼ Hour	0.00	13.50

Issued under authority of M.P.S.C. Order dated 8/31/00	Case No. U-11831
Issued: January 31, 2003	Effective: February 1, 2003

By Robin M. Gleason, Vice President - State Regulatory  
Detroit, Michigan



# Attachment B

**Corrections to the Affidavit of Mark J. Cottrell**

Paragraph 9 should be modified as follows:

9. SBC Midwest currently handles commercial volumes of CLEC transactions on its pre-ordering and ordering interfaces. As demonstrated by the EDI/CORBA and Enhanced Verigate Usage tables provided in Attachments A and B respectively, within the last 12 months EDI/CORBA has handled over 17.9 million transactions and Verigate has handled over 5 million transactions.<sup>4</sup> [Footnote was not changed]. Between January 2002 and December 2002, EDI volumes decreased by 55% while CORBA volumes dramatically increased by 10,369%.<sup>5</sup> [Footnote was not changed]. The decrease in EDI volume and increase in CORBA volume is attributable to the fact that more and more CLECs are using the CORBA protocol for pre-order transactions. During the same time frame, Verigate volumes also increased by over 81%.<sup>6</sup>

-----  
<sup>6</sup> In January 2002 Enhanced Verigate volume was ~~309,596~~ **309,586** transactions and by December 2002, volume had increased to 561,514 transactions, for a 81% increase.

Paragraph 10 should now read as follows:

10. The table below shows the number of direct transactions that were submitted by CLECs via SBC Midwest's EDI/CORBA, and Verigate/Enhanced Verigate interfaces within the last five months:

<b>SBC MIDWEST PRE-ORDER INTERFACE TRANSACTION VOLUMES</b>						
<b>MONTH</b>	<b>AUG'02</b>	<b>SEP'02</b>	<b>OCT'02</b>	<b>NOV'02</b>	<b>DEC'02</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>EDI</b>	810,051	748,206	851,143	713,242	547,971	<b>3,670,613</b>
<b>CORBA</b>	874,191	837,360	1,036,340	1,228,571	1,251,266	<b>5,227,728</b>
<b>VERIGATE</b>	500,996	484,781	585,265	502,962	561,514	<b>2,635,518</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,185,238</b>	<b>2,070,347</b>	<b>2,472,748</b>	<b>2,444,775</b>	<b>2,360,751</b>	<b>11,533,859</b>

Paragraph 12 should now read as follows:

12. The following table shows pre-order interface transaction volumes for SWBT, Pacific, and SBC Midwest in the five month period leading up to their respective 271 filing dates. Data included under the heading "Filed" are the transaction volumes in the most current month reported in the Michigan, California and Texas 271 applications (December 2002 for SBC Midwest, July 2002 for Pacific and December 1999 for SWBT). The -1 through -4 figures in the table are volumes for the previous four months' pre-order transactions. As this table demonstrates, SBC Midwest is processing substantially more pre-ordering transactions per month than either SWBT or Pacific at the time of their 271 applications.

<b>COMPARISON OF SBC MIDWEST, PACIFIC, AND SWBT PRE-ORDER</b>						
	-4	-3	-2	-1	Filed	TOTAL
<b>SBC Midwest</b>	2,185,238	2,070,347	2,472,748	2,444,775	2,360,751	<b>11,533,859</b>
<b>Pacific</b>	1,538,950	1,781,015	1,793,163	1,738,128	1,933,958	<b>8,785,214</b>
<b>SWBT</b>	351,024	374,641	516,127	579,523	777,272	<b>2,598,587</b>

Paragraph 14 should be modified as follows:

14. The service order volumes processed by SBC Midwest's CLEC ordering interfaces – EDI and LEX – also demonstrate that SBC Midwest's ordering interfaces currently handle commercial volumes of service orders.<sup>10</sup> [Footnote was not changed]. As evidenced by the EDI and LEX Usage tables provided in Attachments C and D respectively, from January 2002 to December 2002, SBC Midwest's EDI service order volumes increased by 10% 32%<sup>11</sup> and LEX volume increased by 37%.<sup>12</sup> [Footnote was not changed]. Within the last 12 months, more than 5.8 million service orders were created as a result of Local Service Requests (LSRs) submitted via EDI, while over 520,000 service orders were created from LSRs submitted via LEX.

-----  
<sup>11</sup> In January 2002, EDI service order volume was 395,660 transactions and by December 2002, volume had increased to ~~435,007~~ 522,526 orders, for a ~~10%~~ 32% increase.

Paragraph 15 should now read as follows:

15. The table below shows the number of service orders processed by SBC Midwest's EDI and LEX interfaces since August 2002:

<b>SBC MIDWEST'S REGIONAL SERVICE ORDER VOLUMES</b>						
MONTH	AUG'02	SEP'02	OCT'02	NOV'02	DEC'02	TOTAL
<b>EDI</b>	569,422	584,578	587,655	430,116	522,526	<b>2,694,297</b>
<b>LEX</b>	46,346	50,685	61,426	44,829	50,991	<b>254,277</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>615,768</b>	<b>635,263</b>	<b>649,081</b>	<b>474,945</b>	<b>573,517</b>	<b>2,948,574</b>

Paragraph 17 should now read as follows:

17. The following table compares service order volumes for SWBT, Pacific and SBC Midwest in the months leading up to their respective Texas, California and Michigan 271 filing dates. Data included under the heading "Filed" are the service order volumes for the most current month reported in the SBC Midwest region, Pacific California and SWBT Texas applications (~~October~~ **December** 2002 for SBC Midwest, July 2002 for Pacific and December 1999 for SWBT). The -1 through -4 figures represent volumes for the previous four months' service orders.

COMPARISON OF SBC MIDWEST, PACIFIC AND SWBT SERVICE						
	-4	-3	-2	-1	Filed	TOTAL
<b>SBC Midwest</b>	<b>615,768</b>	<b>635,263</b>	<b>649,081</b>	<b>474,945</b>	<b>573,517</b>	<b>2,948,574</b>
<b>Pacific</b>	252,997	320,746	380,434	337,666	413,753	<b>1,705,596</b>
<b>SWBT</b>	57,721	150,392	90,595	93,970	102,654	<b>495,332</b>

Paragraph 18 should be modified as follows:

18. As the table above illustrates, total service order volumes at the beginning of the five-month period for SBC Midwest (~~627,913~~ **615,768** service orders in August 2002) are approximately ~~511%~~ **499%** greater than the service order volumes for SWBT at the end of its five-month period (102,654 service orders in December 1999). The FCC concluded that SWBT's commercial volumes were sufficient to establish nondiscriminatory access.<sup>14</sup> [Footnote was not changed]. While SWBT's order interfaces handled fairly high volumes of service orders for the four months prior to its FCC 271 application, SBC Midwest's ordering interfaces have handled similar volumes for at least 12 months, as evidenced by Attachments C and D. In fact, SBC Midwest's ordering interfaces are currently handling ~~373%~~ **458%** more service orders than SWBT's ordering interfaces at the time of its initial Texas 271 application and ~~17%~~ **38%** more than Pacific at the time of its California 271 application.

Paragraph 141 should be modified as follows:

141. More than 5.8 million cumulative service orders have been generated via SBC Midwest's EDI Gateway since January 2002. In December 2002, CLECs originated more than ~~435,007~~ **435,000** service orders via SBC Midwest's EDI Gateway. Attachment C provides empirical data by month and year of CLEC EDI Gateway usage over the last twelve months.

Paragraph 144 should be modified as follows:

144. More than ~~520,718~~ **520,000** cumulative service orders have been generated by SBC Midwest's Web LEX interface since January 2002. In December 2002, CLEC input of LSRs directly into Web LEX created ~~over~~ 50,991 service orders. Attachment D provides empirical data by month and year of CLEC use of LEX over the last twelve months. As of October 2002, SBC Midwest has issued more than 31,000 user IDs to CLECs for access to LEX GUI.

Finally, revised versions of Attachments A (EDI/CORBA pre-order transaction volumes), C (EDI service-order volumes), and D (LEX service-order volumes) to the Cottrell Affidavit follow:

## Pre-Order

[illegible][illegible]

ED/CORBA	Jan, 2002	Feb, 2002	Mar, 2002	Apr, 2002	May, 2002	June, 2002	Jul, 2002	Aug, 2002	Sep, 2002	Oct, 2002	Nov, 2002	Dec, 2002	Total
<b>Totals</b>	1,231,507	987,566	1,210,800	1,211,112	1,416,312	1,538,173	1,820,533	1,684,242	1,585,566	1,887,483	1,941,813	1,799,237	18,314,344

**Revised Attachment C to the Cottrell Affidavit**

**Service Orders**

EDI	Jan, 2002	Feb, 2002	Mar, 2002	Apr, 2002	May, 2002	June, 2002	Jul, 2002	Aug, 2002	Sep, 2002	Oct, 2002	Nov, 2002	Dec, 2002	Total
Totals	395,660	362,682	425,181	455,426	513,692	475,395	562,209	569,422	584,578	587,655	430,116	522,526	5,884,542

**Revised Attachment D to the Cottrell Affidavit**

Service Orders													
LEX	Jan, 2002	Feb, 2002	Mar, 2002	Apr, 2002	May, 2002	June, 2002	Jul, 2002	Aug, 2002	Sep, 2002	Oct, 2002	Nov, 2002	Dec, 2002	Total
TOTALS	37,102	42,186	45,678	38,076	48,063	45,640	43,893	46,346	50,685	61,426	44,829	50,991	554,915